



Lancashire Enterprise Partnership Limited

Private and Confidential: NO (Except for Appendices C, D, E and F)

Date: Tuesday, 23 June 2020

LEP - Programmes Update Report (Appendices A - J refer)

Report Author: Anne-Mare Parkinson, LEP Programme Manager
anne-marie.parkinson@lancashirelep.co.uk

Executive Summary

This report provides the LEP Board with high level updates for each of the LEP programmes.

Recommendation

The Lancashire Enterprise Partnership (LEP) is asked to:

1. Note the updates contained in this report; and
2. Provide feedback in terms of changes / additional content for future reports.

Background and Advice

As Members will be aware, updates provided to Members from the various LEP programmes have been on an irregular basis, as required, and largely in isolation of the other LEP programmes.

The purpose of this and future reports is to provide Members with a single high-level programme report, including an update on each of the LEP programmes, with the aim to:

- Provide regular and consistent updates across all programmes, which can be used when Members are promoting / engaging / conducting LEP business.
- Recognise, appreciate and explore the synergies / linkages between programmes to allow a more holistic delivery model.
- Allow members to make individual programme decisions in the context of the complete package of works.

Please find attached at Appendices A–J an update for each programme as below:

- Boost
- City Deal
- Enterprise Zones X 4
- Growing Places



- Growth Deal
- Lancashire Skills and Employment Hub
- Social Value

List of Background Papers

Paper	Date	Contact/Tel
None		

Reason for inclusion in Part II, if appropriate

Appendices 'C', 'D', 'E' and 'F' are attached separately in the Part II as they contain exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972. The report contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information). It is considered that in all the circumstances of the case the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.